(b) if so, what steps have been taken in this regard and the results thereof; and

(c) whether any Hindus have migrated from Pakistan since attacks on their religious places; if so, what is the number thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS (SHRI K. R. NARAYANAN): (a) and (b) Government are aware that considerable damage has been done recently to temples and property of Hindus in Pakistan. It is the responsibility of the Government of Pakistan to give adequate protection to all minorities in that country.

(c) Government have received no confirmed reports in this regard.

Fraudulent practices in lotteries

1403. SHRI LAL K. ADVANI:
SHRI SHANKER SINH VAGHELA:

Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) the annual turn-over of lotteries;

(b) whether fraudulent practices have come to light like printing of counterfeit tickets or more than the officially declared numbers and continuing to sell tickets even after the draw has been held; and

(c) whether Government propose to make rules and regulations to stop the fraudulent practices?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI RAM NIWAS MIRDHA): (a) Available information in respect of lotteries run by State Governments/Union Territory Administrations is enclosed. (See Statement below).

(b) and (c) Some cases regarding fraudulent practices have come to notice. Government of India have issued guidelines to regulate the conduct of lotteries and eliminate scope of malpractices in them in June, 1984. The implementation of these guidelines was reviewed and States/UTs were requested in February, 1985 to ensure strict adherence to the guidelines while conducting their own lotteries or permitting private ones.

Statement

S. No.	Name of State/Union Territory.	Annual Turnover.	
1	2		3
1	Andhra Pradesh	Rs. 1,01,66,492.47 (Provisional)	1983-84
2	Assam	Rs. 7,89,60,000	1983-84
3	Bihar	No State Lottery	
4	Gujarat	Rs. 8,15,35,574 (Total income upto 31-1-1984)	
5	Haryana	Rs. 1,46,84,000	1983-84
6	Himachal Pradesh	Rs. 3,99,21,641	1985
7	Jammu & Kashmir	No State lottery	
8	Karnataka	Rs. 14,85,00,000	1985-86

1	2	3
9	Kerala	Rs. 8,88,20,071	1983-84
10	Madhya Pradesh	Rs. 75,46,000(Net)	1983-84
11	Maharashtra	Rs. 7,22,88,000 (Net)	1985-86
12	Manipur	Rs. 78,70,000	1985-86
13	Meghalaya	Rs. 1,60,00,000	1985-86
14	Nagaland	Rs. 40,00,000	1983-84
15	Orissa	Rs. 37,50,000	1983-84
16	Punjab	Rs. 1,48,00,000 (Net)	Upto 26-3-1984
17	Rajasthan	Rs. 12,30,00,000	1985-86
18	Sikkim	Rs. 2,61,00,000	1985-86
19	Tamil Nadu	Rs. 66,34,000	Upto January 1984
20	Tripura	Rs. 16,60,000 (excluding overhead expenditure)	Lottery stopped from 5-10-1983
21	Uttar Pradesh	Rs. 4,00,00,000	1983-84
22	West Bengal	Rs. 1,33,91,137.42 (Net)	1983-84
23	Andaman & Nicobar Islands	No State lottery	
24	Arunachal Pradesh	Rs. 1,77,38,000	1985-86
25	Chandigarh	No State lottery	..
26	Dadra & Nagar Haveli	No State lottery	..
27	Delhi	Rs. 13,09,00,000	1984-85
28	Goa, Daman & Diu	Rs. 17,16,00,000	1985-86
29	Lakshadweep	No State lottery	..
30	Mizoram	No State lottery	..
31	Pondicherry	No State lottery	..

Expensiveness of LCA Project

1404. SHRI ASHWANI KUMAR:
SHRI PYARELAL KHANDELWAL:

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state whether Government's attention has been drawn to the news item which appeared in the Hindustan Times of 24th February, 1986 under the caption "Light Combat Aircraft Venture Expensive" to the effect that Light Combat Aircraft of India will be a very uneconomical, heavily-subsidised enterprise, involving wasteful use of human and other resources with few civilian spin-off benefits; if so, what are the details thereof and Government's reaction in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENCE RESEACH AND DEVELOPMENT (SHRI ARUN SINGH): The article in the Hindustan Times of 24 Feb. 86 alleged LCA Project to be expensive